



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,727	11/29/2001	Yoshito Katagiri	02860.0697	1853
22852	7590 10/12/2005	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN LLP	, HENDERSON, FAF	REAGAN, JAMES A		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-4413		3621	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/995,727	KATAGIRI, YOSHITO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James A. Reagan	3621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims		,			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-15,17,19 and 20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15,17,19 and 20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a comparison of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin T	ewn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- This action is in response to the amendment filed on 09 August 2005 and subsequent RCE filed on 12 September 2005.
- 2. Claims 1, 11, and 14 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 10 and 18 have been cancelled.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 1 1-15, and 17, 19, and 20 are currently pending and have been examined.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments received on 09 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Referring to the previous Office action, Examiner has cited relevant portions of the references as a means to illustrate the systems as taught by the prior art. As a means of providing further clarification as to what is taught by the references used in the first Office action, Examiner has expanded the teachings for comprehensibility while maintaining the same grounds of rejection of the claims, except as noted above in the section labeled "Status of Claims." This information is intended to assist in illuminating the teachings of the references while providing evidence that establishes further support for the rejections of the claims. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,727 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be

patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious

at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention

was made.

7. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 1 1-15, 17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Stefik et al., (US 5,715,403 A) in view of Kossovsky et al. (US 2002/0002524

A1).

Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art

of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the

specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific

limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in

preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or

part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or

disclosed by the Examiner.

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 1 1-15, 17, 19, and 20:

Stefik discloses, "A system for controlling use and distribution of digital works.

The present invention allows the owner of a digital work to attach usage rights to their work. The

usage rights define how the individual digital work may be used and distributed. Instances of

usage rights are defined using a flexible and extensible usage rights grammar. Conceptually, a

right in the usage rights grammar is a label associated with a predetermined behavior and conditions to exercising the right. The behavior of a usage right is embodied in a predetermined set of usage transactions steps. The usage transaction steps further check all conditions which must be satisfied before the right may be exercised. These usage transaction steps define a protocol for requesting the exercise of a right and the carrying out of a right" (see at least the abstract and other relevant text). Stefik, by disclosing a computer and computer network clearly discloses the input, output, display, printing, control, memory, re-writable memory and communication functions as claimed by the Applicant. As such, any computer in use at the time of the invention employing rudimentary software available at the time of conception would be capable of completing the tasks as described in the claim limitations. In addition, Stefik discloses updating digital rights information, expiration of digital rights, renewing of digital rights, and user authentication.

Although Stefik does disclose copy rights i.e. intellectual property rights, Stefik does not specifically state that those rights extend to patent rights. Kossovsky, however, in at least the abstract, paragraph 0005 and 0009 discloses a relationship between intellectual property and patent rights, as well as the sale of IP and associated patent rights. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include patent rights as shown by Kossovsky with Stefik's copy rights protection system because it may be, "...used in determining the amount of patent validity insurance provided in the sale of an IP asset (Kossovsky: paragraph 0011)."

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **James A. Reagan** whose telephone number is **571.272.6710.** The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, **James Trammell** can be reached at **571.272.6712**.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703.305.7687 [Official communications, After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

703.308.1396 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

fast to

JAR

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3621

05 October 2005